UNBEKOMING

The Protocol Series

The 14 Things That Happen to Your Newborn in the First 48 Hours

An Essay on the Hospital Protocol Parents Are Never Asked to Approve



www.unbekoming.com

November 2026

UNBEKOMING

The Protocol Series

The 14 Things That Happen to Your Newborn in the First 48 Hours

An Essay on the Hospital Protocol Parents Are Never Asked to Approve

. . .

www.unbekoming.com

November 2026

FIRST PUBLISHED NOVEMBER 2026

Copyright © 2026 Unbekoming

May be freely shared, quoted, and adapted with attribution.

Essays, books, and archive:
www.unbekoming.com

Books by Unbekoming:
www.lulu.com/spotlight/unbekoming

The Protocol Series

• • •

Contents

The pages that follow, in order.

The Protocol Is Already Running 2

The First Hour 3

The Rest of Day One 8

Day Two: The Separation Protocol 10

The Pattern Underneath 13

The Refusal They Punish 15

What the Protocol Will Not Investigate 17

The Essay in Four Beats 19

The Receipts 20

Quick Reference 21

How to Explain It to a Six-Year-Old 22

References 24

About Unbekoming 30

Books by Unbekoming 31

Author's Note

This essay engages with the standard American hospital birth protocol in the terms the protocol uses. Establishment vocabulary (hepatitis B, phenylketonuria, group B strep, antibody titer, immune function) appears in quotation, in reported speech, or inside the product and procedure names the hospital uses. The analytical voice operates from the terrain paradigm: the body is a self-healing organism that does not make mistakes, disease arises from toxic exposure and other identifiable insults, and interventions that bypass the skin barrier of a hours-old infant are examined accordingly. The two registers do different work. The hospital's language describes what the hospital does. The terrain language describes what is being done to the baby.

The physiological detail of what the first-hour interventions displace, including the placental transfer mechanism, the Widström nine-stage approach to the breast, and the maternal oxytocin cascade, is traced in a prior essay in this series, *Seven Interventions in Sixty Minutes* (August 2026). This essay is the architectural companion: what the full forty-eight-hour protocol does, how consent is dissolved into a single admission form, and what the cascade produces beyond the hospital doors.

The Protocol Is Already Running

The baby cannot sign. The mother signs one form at admission, which opens the protocol. The protocol performs fourteen distinct medical procedures on the baby in the first forty-eight hours of its life. Six of them occur in the first hour. The last of the six is the hepatitis B vaccine, which delivers two hundred fifty micrograms of aluminum intramuscularly to an infant whose average weight is 3.3 kilograms. Adjusted for body weight, the newborn receives roughly eleven times the per-kilogram aluminum load the same product delivers to an adult.

No one asked the mother.

The itemized hospital bill catalogs each procedure. The consent paperwork the mother signed at admission covers two or three of them. The vaccine information sheet handed to her after the hepatitis B dose was already in the baby's thigh is an educational document, not a consent form. Everything else happens under what the protocols call "standing orders" or "routine newborn care." The vocabulary converts a sequence of fourteen interventions into a single administrative category, and the category removes the question of whether any individual item was wanted.

In adult medicine, each major procedure requires its own consent form: the blood transfusion, the general anesthesia, the central venous catheter, even the chest X-ray. The newborn signs nothing because the newborn cannot, and the one person who could sign on the baby's behalf is told, repeatedly and from several directions, that refusal is dangerous eccentricity.

The First Hour

Intervention one: the cord is cut. In most American hospitals, the umbilical cord is clamped and cut within fifteen to thirty seconds of delivery. The World Health Organization recommends waiting at least one to three minutes. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, in 2017, revised its guidance to recommend a minimum delay of thirty to sixty seconds for vigorous term infants. The practice in the room runs ahead of the guidance because the protocol was built around speed and still rewards it.

The specific loss is measurable. A full placental transfusion delivers approximately eighty to one hundred milliliters of blood to the newborn, roughly one third of its total blood volume.[1] Clamping the cord before pulsation finishes diverts that blood away from the baby. The downstream effect is reduced iron stores for the first six months of life, during exactly the window the brain is building its myelin. The hospital treats the iron shortfall it created with iron-fortified formula and iron supplementation. The documentation does not connect the two.

Intervention two: the airway is suctioned. A bulb or wall-suction catheter is run through the nose and mouth, often while the baby is still attached to the cord. Neonatal Resuscitation Program guidelines, which are the training standard for American hospital birth staff, were revised in 2015 to recommend against routine suctioning of vigorous newborns, because the procedure causes bradycardia through vagal stimulation and damages the delicate mucosal lining. The hospital kept doing it anyway. It remains on the standing-order list and in the muscle memory of the nurses who carry it out.

Intervention three: the vernix is wiped off. Vernix caseosa, the white protective coating the baby arrives wearing, has documented antimicrobial properties and provides temperature regulation. The World Health Organization recommends delaying the first bath for at least twenty-four hours. American hospitals typically wash the baby within one to two hours. The wash removes the maternal scent that orients the newborn toward the breast, drops the baby's temperature, and spikes cortisol. A knitted or cotton hat is then placed over the baby's head, ostensibly for thermoregulation; the mother's chest already provides thermal regulation calibrated to the infant's needs, and the hat covers the crown where the densest concentration of the baby's olfactory and sensory input occurs. "Fussy baby after bath" becomes "difficulty latching" becomes "formula supplementation." The cascade begins with a washcloth.

The cascade begins with a washcloth.

Intervention four: erythromycin ointment goes in the eyes. Required by law in at least thirty-two American states and recommended by the CDC in all fifty, applied to every newborn regardless of the mother's prenatal gonorrhea screen.[2] The stated purpose is prevention of ophthalmia neonatorum, a severe eye inflammation the establishment attributes to maternal gonorrhea passed to the baby during delivery. The mother has already been screened and treated if positive. The ointment blurs the baby's vision for the next twelve to twenty-four hours, interferes with the first eye contact that drives maternal bonding, and introduces a sensitizing antibiotic to the ocular mucosa. Roughly a quarter of American gonorrhea isolates are now resistant to erythromycin; the mandated drug is losing efficacy against the condition the

mandate exists to prevent.[3] The United Kingdom, Denmark, Sweden, and most of continental Europe have abandoned universal application. The United States has not.

Intervention five: the first injection. A syringe containing one milligram of synthetic phytonadione in a vehicle of polyoxyethylated castor oil (or, in some formulations, polysorbate 80), nine milligrams per milliliter of benzyl alcohol, and dextrose monohydrate enters the baby's thigh.[4] The active ingredient is dwarfed by the carrier. In the Hospira AquaMEPHYTON insert, the "inactive" ingredients outweigh the vitamin K by a factor of approximately forty to one. The manufacturer discloses that the product has not been studied for carcinogenicity, mutagenicity, or impairment of fertility. Benzyl alcohol is on the FDA's flagged list for neonatal use because of its documented association with "gasping syndrome" at higher cumulative doses in low-birth-weight infants; the vitamin K vehicle delivers it in a single bolus to a baby whose hepatic clearance of the compound has not yet begun. The product insert lists hyperbilirubinemia and jaundice as adverse events. Jaundice is then observed in the baby in the subsequent days and recorded as "idiopathic" or "physiologic."

Intervention six: the first vaccine. The hepatitis B vaccine, administered within hours of birth, delivers two hundred fifty micrograms of aluminum (as aluminum hydroxide in Engerix-B, or as amorphous aluminum hydroxyphosphate sulfate in Recombivax HB) to an infant whose average weight is 3.3 kilograms.[5] Adjusted for body weight, the newborn dose delivers approximately eleven times the aluminum per kilogram that the same product delivers to an adult. The mother has already been screened for the hepatitis B surface antigen during prenatal care. If she tested negative, the baby is still receiving the dose.

The hepatitis B birth dose was folded into the universal infant vaccination strategy in November 1991. The ACIP's own

explanation at the time: selective vaccination of adults in identified high-risk groups had failed to lower incidence, because vaccinating the high-risk population "before they become infected generally has not been feasible."[6] Universal infant vaccination was the alternative. A vaccine for a condition the CDC classifies as transmitted primarily through sexual contact and shared intravenous drug equipment became a universal first-day pediatric injection because the adult program had collapsed and the pediatric population was easier to reach.

The injection is also the first product on a schedule the manufacturer bears no liability for injuring. The 1986 National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act removed tort liability for all vaccines on the CDC recommended pediatric schedule, and hepatitis B was folded into that schedule by the same 1991 ACIP decision that moved the dose to the day of birth. A parent whose child is injured by this product cannot sue the manufacturer; they can petition the Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, a HRSA-administered no-fault fund that has paid out over five billion dollars to injured petitioners since 1988 while the manufacturers remain structurally insulated from the cost of what their products produce.[7]

The Informed Consent Action Network, in 2019, filed a Freedom of Information Act request to the National Institutes of Health asking for the studies establishing the safety of injecting aluminum into infants. The NIH response, after a search of the Office of Intramural Research, the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, and the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, was that "no records responsive to your request were located."[8] Not a single safety study was identified. The dose continues to be administered.

Six interventions in the first hour. The baby has lost a third of its blood volume, had its airway instrumented, been stripped of its

skin barrier, had its eyes coated in an antibiotic ointment, received an injection whose carrier the manufacturer concedes has not been studied for cancer or birth defects, and received an aluminum-containing injection whose safety the NIH concedes it has no studies on.

The Rest of Day One

Intervention seven: pulse oximetry. Pulse oximetry screening for critical congenital heart disease was added to the Recommended Uniform Screening Panel, the national newborn screening list, in 2011. The screen itself is non-invasive. Its significance here is that it adds another observation window, another nurse arriving at the bassinet, and a false-positive rate of roughly 0.14 percent in the primary validation studies that generates downstream echocardiograms and specialist consults for a small but non-trivial population of healthy babies.

Intervention eight: the glucose check. Any baby flagged as "at risk" (induced labor, maternal gestational diabetes, "large for gestational age," "small for gestational age," late preterm) receives serial heel sticks to measure blood glucose. The threshold has been progressively lowered. A reading below 40 milligrams per deciliter now triggers "intervention," which in practice means a bottle of formula. What the screen catches is the postnatal drop in blood sugar that occurs as the newborn mobilizes glycogen stores and transitions to colostrum. The screen reads a physiological transition as a pathological event and prescribes the formula that will interfere with the breastfeeding the mother was told to establish.

Intervention nine: the neonatal physical examination. A pediatrician examines the baby within twenty-four hours. This is the one procedure on the list with a defensible purpose. It is also the entry point for the next cascade. A heart murmur (physiologic, common, usually benign) triggers an echocardiogram. A hip click triggers an ultrasound. A visible bilirubin level, elevated by the vitamin K injection's own documented effect, triggers phototherapy under lights that the baby wears foam goggles under, separated from the mother for the duration.

Intervention ten: the hearing screen. Mandated by law in all fifty states, performed before discharge. Universal newborn hearing screening carries a false-positive rate variously reported between two and ten percent, driven partly by residual amniotic fluid in the ear canal and partly by the baby being asleep or restless during the test. The screen is non-invasive. It is also another separation from the mother, another specialist, another scheduled follow-up when the first result is "refer." A fraction of parents receive the news that their baby has "failed" a hearing test, carry that fear through the first week, and return for a repeat that is almost always normal.

Day Two: The Separation Protocol

Intervention eleven: the heel prick. Between twenty-four and forty-eight hours after birth, before the baby can be discharged, blood is drawn by heel stick to fill four to six circles on the Guthrie card, the specialized filter paper used for newborn metabolic screening. The screen tests for phenylketonuria, congenital hypothyroidism, galactosemia, sickle cell disease, cystic fibrosis, and depending on the state, up to thirty additional conditions. Most have no treatment. Several have treatments only effective if begun immediately; the screen is defensible for those few. For the rest, it generates an indefinite data trail. Residual blood spots are retained, in many states indefinitely, for research use that parents are not asked to consent to.[9]

The heel prick is performed on a baby who may still be bleeding from the vitamin K puncture site in the thigh. If the nurse cannot get enough blood on the first stick, she sticks again. Two to six punctures is typical. Lancets are small; babies cry hard. The baby's cortisol rises; the mother's cortisol rises in response; the breastfeeding dyad, already compromised by vernix removal and glucose supplementation, loses more ground.

Intervention twelve: the artificial nipple. Formula bottles and pacifiers are introduced in nearly every American hospital, often before the mother's milk has come in. The nipple shape of a bottle teat and a pacifier is nothing like the breast. The suckling mechanics are different. Babies who are given a bottle in the first forty-eight hours have significantly higher rates of breastfeeding cessation at six weeks and at six months.[10] The industry name for this effect is "nipple confusion"; the hospital treats it as a parent-education problem rather than a product-introduction

problem. Enfamil and Similac samples go home in the discharge bag.

Intervention thirteen: the nursery separation. The baby is taken to the newborn nursery for observation, often overnight, "so the mother can rest." Skin-to-skin contact in the first hours and days has documented effects on thermoregulation, blood sugar stabilization, breastfeeding initiation, and the maternal-infant hormonal cascade. Separation disrupts all of these. The mother is not resting during that separation; she is anxious, listening for a cry that may not be her baby's. The baby, placed in a plastic bassinet under fluorescent light, cries alone until a nurse arrives with a bottle. The decision was made by the hospital workflow, not the mother. It is the first of many decisions she will discover were made for her.

Intervention fourteen: circumcision. Approximately fifty-eight to sixty percent of newborn American boys are circumcised in the hospital before discharge.[11] The American Academy of Pediatrics Task Force on Circumcision, in its 2012 technical report, concluded that the preventive health benefits of elective circumcision of male newborns "outweigh the risks of the procedure," and in the same report stated that "the health benefits are not great enough to recommend routine circumcision for all male newborns."[12] The hospital protocol operates in the gap between those two sentences: performing the procedure routinely without the recommendation that would justify the routine.

The AAP also recommends the use of analgesia when circumcision is performed. A substantial fraction are still conducted with topical EMLA cream only, which penetrates poorly, and a smaller but non-zero fraction with no analgesia at all.[13] The baby is strapped to a plastic restraint board while the foreskin is clamped and cut away. Studies measuring infant physiologic response during circumcision document heart rate

elevation, oxygen desaturation, cortisol surge, and in some cases the behavioral collapse pediatric researchers call "dissociation" and parents call "the baby went still."

The foreskin is a specialized tissue containing between ten and twenty thousand fine-touch nerve endings.[14] The removed tissue has, for several decades, been purchased by biotechnology and cosmetic firms for use in cultured skin products (Dermagraft, Apligraf) and anti-aging serums; SkinMedica's TNS Recovery Complex, the market-leading product in the growth-factor skincare category, is derived from a donated neonatal foreskin.[15] The baby bears the cost of the procedure while the industry collects the revenue from what the baby lost.

Fourteen interventions. The paperwork records the discharge of a "well newborn."

The Pattern Underneath

Each intervention creates the next. Early cord clamping produces iron shortfall, which produces formula fortification. Vernix removal and nursery separation disrupt breastfeeding, which produces glucose instability, which produces supplementation. The vitamin K injection produces hyperbilirubinemia, which produces phototherapy. The hepatitis B dose, together with the vitamin K dose, delivers the aluminum and the surfactant load that the body spends the next weeks and months attempting to clear.

The cascade test that applies elsewhere in American medicine applies here without modification: does this intervention create conditions requiring further intervention? The answer, item by item down the fourteen, is yes. The newborn who arrives at discharge on day two is no longer the newborn who was handed to the mother at delivery. The infant in the car seat is a patient whose medical record already shows a sequence of billable procedures and a history of pharmaceutical exposure, and whose first pediatric appointment is already on the calendar.

Joy Garner's Control Group Survey, conducted across forty-eight American states with a confidence level of ninety-nine percent, established that fully unvaccinated adults, with no vitamin K shot and no maternal vaccine exposure during pregnancy, have a chronic condition rate of 2.64 percent. Exposure to the vitamin K shot alone, without any later vaccines, raised that rate to 11.73 percent, a 344 percent increase over the baseline. Exposure to the vitamin K shot and to maternal vaccines during pregnancy raised the rate to 30 percent, a 1,036 percent increase.[16] The vitamin K injection, framed to parents as "just a vitamin," is a documented dose-response factor in later chronic disease.

The baseline exists. The CDC, FDA, and NIH have refused for decades to conduct the vaccinated-versus-unvaccinated comparison that would make the baseline visible. Garner's survey, built from parents who kept their children off the schedule, assembled the baseline from the bottom up.

The Refusal They Punish

Parents who decline the fourteen do not experience the protocol as a menu. They experience it as resistance from a system that treats refusal as pathology.

The documented pattern of hospital response to vitamin K refusal in the United States includes NICU admission for "monitoring," repeated heel sticks for blood tests, lectures from nurses and residents, pediatric consults called in within minutes of birth, and in several documented cases, calls to Child Protective Services and police visits to the home. The Illinois cases from 2013 to 2015, in which parents who had declined vitamin K received home visits from law enforcement after being reported by hospital staff, are a matter of public record.[17]

The pattern is not limited to vitamin K. In New York, hospitals routinely call Child Protective Services for parents who decline the erythromycin eye ointment, even where the gonorrhea-screened mother has tested negative and the drug itself is now failing against a quarter of American isolates. The specific intervention declined is not the point. The refusal is. The architecture produces the escalation whether the item in question is the aluminum injection, the ointment, the formula bottle, or the circumcision. What the hospital treats as deviance is the parent exercising the only legal right the admission form did not dissolve.

The specific intervention declined is not the point. The refusal is.

The pressure escalates in sequence. Doctor, nurse, charge nurse, pediatric consult, social work consult, risk management consult. Each step is framed as "concern for the baby." The parent who began the hour believing they were making an informed choice ends it being treated as a danger to their own child. Most parents yield. The small number who do not have usually done months of preparation, know the specific product inserts, and carry printed copies of the Australian Paediatric Surveillance Unit data showing six infant deaths from what the hospital system classifies as vitamin K deficiency bleeding across five million births over twenty-five years (three of the six had received the vitamin K injection, and none of the six was a hospital-born baby whose parents had declined the dose).[18] They know they are not endangering their child. They are refusing to enroll their child in a protocol that was designed around the hospital's workflow rather than around the baby.

What the Protocol Will Not Investigate

A few of the fourteen produce genuine benefit for the small populations they were designed around: the PKU heel prick for the baby who has PKU, the hearing screen for the deaf baby whose language development benefits from early intervention, the physical exam for the baby with the hip dysplasia or cardiac defect that would otherwise progress. The essay has conceded these items at the point of each. What the protocol will not ask is what the fourteen produce in aggregate, applied universally, to the ninety-plus percent of babies who did not need any of them.

The vitamin K injection has not been studied against a true placebo-controlled trial in the sixty-plus years it has been administered. The hepatitis B birth dose has not been studied against an unexposed cohort. The combined aluminum load across the first forty-eight hours has not been studied for its effect on neurodevelopment at any age. The cumulative effect of fourteen interventions, in sequence, on a system that was not designed to tolerate them, has not been examined because examining it would require asking the question in the first place.

The streetlight stands over the questions the funding structure is willing to pay for. The dark field, which is everything that would reveal harm from the protocol, remains unlit. Parents are told the absence of evidence of harm is evidence of safety. The absence of evidence is evidence that no one looked.

The absence of evidence is evidence that no one looked.

The hospital that discharges the baby on day two does not follow up. The pediatrician who sees the baby in two weeks does not have the cumulative exposure chart. The developmental concern that emerges at eighteen months, the diagnosis labeled autism at thirty months, the recurrent ear inflammation at four years, the ADHD label at seven, the chronic inflammatory diagnosis at twenty-two, will each be treated as its own isolated event with no known cause. By then the protocol has moved on; the family has not; and the connection between the sequence that opened the child's life and the symptoms that followed will exist only in the parents' own memory.

The Essay in Four Beats

The argument, compressed to its four moves.

Beat 1

Consent Is Dissolved

One admission form opens the hospital's protocol. The protocol performs fourteen interventions in forty-eight hours. The vocabulary of "standing orders" and "routine newborn care" converts the sequence into a single administrative category that removes the question of whether any item was wanted. No one asked the mother.

Beat 2

The Sequence Runs

Cord clamped early. Airway suctioned. Vernix wiped off, hat placed. Erythromycin ointment in the eyes. Vitamin K injection in a forty-to-one carrier. Hepatitis B vaccine, two hundred fifty micrograms of aluminum. Six interventions in the first hour, eight more across the next forty-six, each unopposed by an individual consent.

Beat 3

The Injection Load

Charles Richet demonstrated in 1901 that foreign protein administered by bypass of the skin barrier produces progressively intensified response on re-exposure. He won the 1913 Nobel Prize for the work. A newborn receiving injections in the first hour, and on the schedule thereafter, enters the sequence Richet described. What follows is the modern chronic disease landscape.

Beat 4

Refusal Is Pathology

Parents who decline do not experience the protocol as a menu. Pressure escalates from nurse to pediatric consult to social work to risk management. Several documented cases end in Child Protective Services and police visits. The specific intervention declined is not the point. The refusal is.

The Receipts

The numbers, with their citations.

250 µg

Aluminum delivered intramuscularly by one hepatitis B vaccine dose to a newborn weighing roughly 3.3 kilograms. *(Engerix-B and Recombivax HB package inserts)*

11×

Per-kilogram aluminum ratio: the dose the newborn receives is approximately eleven times what the same product delivers per kilogram to an adult. *(arithmetic from the product inserts)*

6

Interventions performed on the newborn in the first hour of life, before the mother is asked to sign anything beyond the admission form at the door. *(the essay's own enumeration)*

0

Safety studies of injecting aluminum into infants located by the NIH in response to the 2019 ICAN FOIA request, after searches of three institutes. *(ICAN FOIA Case 50822, HHS Appeal 19-0083-AA)*

$5B+

Compensation paid out by the Vaccine Injury Compensation Program since 1988, while vaccine manufacturers remain indemnified from tort liability by the 1986 Act. *(HRSA VICP data; Public Law 99-660)*

1,036%

Increase in chronic condition prevalence between fully unvaccinated adults and adults who received both the vitamin K shot at birth and maternal vaccines during pregnancy. *(Garner Control Group Independent Health Survey Initiative Report, 2020)*

Quick Reference

Practical takeaways, for reference, print, or sharing.

Before the Birth

- Read the Engerix-B or Recombivax HB package insert in full, including the aluminum and safety-study sections
- Read the Hospira AquaMEPHYTON insert for the complete vitamin K carrier formulation
- Request the hospital's written list of standing-order interventions for term newborns
- Line up a pediatric practice willing to accept a child who declines or defers items on the first-day schedule

At Admission

- Ask what procedures the admission consent form covers
- Ask what procedures require their own consent forms
- Ask what procedures are performed under standing orders with no individual consent

In the First Hour

- Request delayed cord clamping, per ACOG 2017 guidance
- Request no routine suctioning of a vigorous newborn, per NRP 2015 guidance
- Request no vernix removal, no bath, no hat for the first twenty-four hours, per WHO guidance
- Decline or defer the hepatitis B vaccine; the mother has already been screened

If the Hospital Escalates

- Document every conversation with name, title, and time
- Know your state's statutory grounds for a CPS referral and what does not qualify
- Request discharge against medical advice if necessary and leave with your baby

How to Explain It to a Six-Year-Old

Imagine you are brand new. You just woke up for the very first time. You cannot talk yet. You cannot walk. You cannot say what you want or what you do not want.

A grown-up you have never met picks you up. They do fourteen things to you, one after another. They take some of your blood away. They put a tube up your nose. They scrub off the special coating on your skin. They put stinging goo in your eyes so you cannot see your mother. They give you two shots in your leg. They poke the bottom of your foot with a sharp needle, over and over, until it bleeds into a card. They put you in a plastic box in a different room from your mother. They put a plastic nipple in your mouth that does not taste like your mother. If you are a boy, they strap you down and cut part of you off.

Nobody asked you if any of this was okay. Nobody asked your mother before most of it either. They were doing it to all the babies that day. It was on the list.

When your mother asks why, they tell her it is to help you. They do not tell her that most of these things were invented a long time ago for babies who were sick, or for mothers who were sick, and that your mother is not sick and neither are you. They do not tell her that in some countries they stopped doing most of them because the babies were fine without them.

They tell her this is just what happens. And because she loves you and she is tired and the hospital is loud and the people wear white coats, she lets them do it. The list goes on, item by item, until it is finished. And then they send you home.

• • •

A Note on This Essay

This essay is not medical advice and does not constitute a doctor-patient relationship. It examines the standard American hospital birth protocol, the consent architecture that surrounds it, and the published evidence on each individual intervention. Readers considering changes to their own or their children's care should consult with practitioners whose approach they trust. Decisions about birth, vaccination, and pediatric care are the parents' to make, informed by whatever sources they find most credible. Nothing in this essay substitutes for that deliberation.

References

1. Hutton EK, Hassan ES. Late vs early clamping of the umbilical cord in full-term neonates: systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled trials. JAMA. 2007;297(11):1241-1252. See also Mercer JS, Erickson-Owens DA. Rethinking placental transfusion and cord clamping issues. *Journal of Perinatal and Neonatal Nursing*. 2012;26(3):202-217. ACOG Committee Opinion No. 684: Delayed Umbilical Cord Clamping After Birth. January 2017.

2. Standler RB. "Legal Obligation to Administer Prophylaxis to Eyes of Newborns in USA." 2006 (updated subsequent years). State-by-state review of ocular prophylaxis mandates, finding at least thirty-two US states with laws requiring erythromycin or equivalent application to newborns regardless of maternal screening status. See also USPSTF Reaffirmation Recommendation Statement, JAMA 2019;321(4):394-398, and CDC STI Treatment Guidelines on neonatal gonococcal prophylaxis.

3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Gonococcal Isolate Surveillance Project (GISP) annual reports on antimicrobial susceptibility, documenting resistance rates in American gonorrhea isolates to erythromycin and related macrolides across the 2010s and into the 2020s.

4. Hospira (Pfizer). AquaMEPHYTON (phytonadione) Injectable Emulsion Package Insert. Current revision available via DailyMed. Formulation includes polyoxyethylated fatty acid derivatives (polyoxyl 35 castor oil), benzyl alcohol 9 mg/mL, dextrose monohydrate, and phytonadione 2 mg/mL (pediatric dose 0.5 mL = 1 mg phytonadione). Insert states: "Phytonadione injectable emulsion has not been evaluated for its carcinogenic or mutagenic potential, or its effect on fertility."

5. GlaxoSmithKline. Engerix-B (Hepatitis B Vaccine, Recombinant) Package Insert. Pediatric/adolescent dose (0.5 mL) contains 250 micrograms of aluminum as aluminum hydroxide; adult dose (1.0 mL) contains 500 micrograms of aluminum as aluminum hydroxide. Merck. Recombivax HB (Hepatitis B Vaccine, Recombinant) Package Insert. Pediatric/adolescent dose (0.5 mL) contains 250 micrograms of aluminum as amorphous aluminum hydroxyphosphate sulfate.

6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Hepatitis B Virus: A Comprehensive Strategy for Eliminating Transmission in the United States Through Universal Childhood Vaccination: Recommendations of

the Immunization Practices Advisory Committee (ACIP). *MMWR Recommendations and Reports.* 1991;40(RR-13). Original text: "The recommended strategy for preventing these infections has been the selective vaccination of persons with identified risk factors. However, this strategy has not lowered the incidence of hepatitis B, primarily because vaccinating persons engaged in high-risk behaviors, life-styles, or occupations before they become infected generally has not been feasible." Universal infant vaccination recommended as the alternative strategy.

7. National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Public Law 99-660. Codified at 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-10 et seq. The Act created the Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (VICP), administered by the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), as a no-fault alternative to tort litigation for vaccines covered by the Vaccine Injury Table. Hepatitis B was added to the Table following its 1991 inclusion on the ACIP recommended schedule. HRSA VICP data indicate total compensation paid exceeds five billion US dollars since program inception, with annual compensation reports published on the HRSA website.

8. Informed Consent Action Network. "NIH Concedes It Has No Studies Assessing The Safety Of Injecting Aluminum Adjuvants." Document trail including FOIA Case Number 50822 and HHS Appeal No. 19-0083-AA, final response letter dated July 19, 2019. Available at icandecide.org.

9. See Beleno v. Lakey (Texas, 2009), in which the Texas Department of State Health Services settled a lawsuit over indefinite retention and research use of residual newborn blood spots without parental consent; and Bearder v. State of Minnesota (2009-2011), in which the Minnesota Supreme Court held that the state's retention and use of newborn screening samples violated the Minnesota Genetic Privacy Act.

10. Howard CR, et al. Randomized clinical trial of pacifier use and bottle-feeding or cupfeeding and their effect on breastfeeding. *Pediatrics.* 2003;111(3):511-518.

11. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. Trends in in-hospital newborn male circumcision, United States, 1999-2010. *MMWR Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report.* 2011;60(34):1167-1168. Subsequent CDC data through the 2010s show rates in the 55-60% range, with regional variation.

12. American Academy of Pediatrics Task Force on Circumcision. Circumcision Policy Statement and Male Circumcision Technical Report. *Pediatrics.* 2012;130(3):e585-e586 (Policy Statement) and e756-e785 (Technical Report). Quoted phrases: "preventive health benefits of

elective circumcision of male newborns outweigh the risks of the procedure" and "the health benefits are not great enough to recommend routine circumcision for all male newborns."

13. Stang HJ, Snellman LW. Circumcision practice patterns in the United States. *Pediatrics.* 1998;101(6):E5.

14. Taylor JR, Lockwood AP, Taylor AJ. The prepuce: specialized mucosa of the penis and its loss to circumcision. *British Journal of Urology.* 1996;77(2):291-295.

15. SkinMedica (an Allergan subsidiary). TNS Recovery Complex product information. The product's active ingredient is Human Fibroblast Conditioned Media (TNS), derived from fibroblasts originally obtained from a donated neonatal foreskin. Sourcing confirmed through SkinMedica authorized reseller technical documentation and multiple independent reviews, including industry commentary from plastic surgery providers who distribute the product.

16. Garner J. The Control Group Independent Health Survey Initiative Report. 2020. Cross-sectional survey of fully unvaccinated subjects across 48 American states, 99% confidence level. See also Unbekoming. "Vaccinated (60%) vs Unvaccinated (2.64%)." Lies are Unbekoming. September 29, 2024.

17. Documented cases of law enforcement and Child Protective Services involvement following parental refusal of the vitamin K injection, Illinois and other states, 2013-2016. Reported in Hamilton K. "Why Illinois Doctors Are Alerting the State to Parents Who Decline a Routine Shot for Their Newborns." *Chicago Tribune.* February 11, 2014. See also documented accounts of New York hospital reports to CPS following parental refusal of erythromycin eye prophylaxis, collected in parent advocacy petitions and midwife practice records.

18. Australian Paediatric Surveillance Unit (APSU) data on vitamin K deficiency bleeding, cited and reviewed in Unbekoming. "The Unvaccinated: Proof of What We Lost." Lies are Unbekoming. August 24, 2025.

19. Unbekoming. "Below the Line of Consent" and "Medicalized Motherhood." Lies are Unbekoming. 2024-2025. Full documentation of the 123-intervention reproductive timeline.

20. Unbekoming. "Seven Interventions in Sixty Minutes: An Essay on What Is Done to a Newborn Before Anyone Says the Baby Is Fine." Lies are Unbekoming. August 15, 2026. Companion essay developing the first-hour physiology and maternal cascade in detail.

21. Lester D, Parker D. *What Really Makes You Ill? Why Everything You Thought You Knew About Disease Is Wrong.* Independently published, 2019.

22. World Health Organization. *WHO recommendations on newborn health: guidelines approved by the WHO Guidelines Review Committee.* Geneva: WHO; 2017 (and 2013 recommendations on timing of first bath).

23. Neonatal Resuscitation Program, American Academy of Pediatrics and American Heart Association, 7th edition (2015), on the removal of routine oropharyngeal and nasopharyngeal suctioning for vigorous newborns.

Additional Sources

Daniel Roytas. *Can You Catch a Cold? Untold History and Human Experiments*

Herbert M. Shelton. *Human Life: Its Philosophy and Laws*

Suzanne Humphries & Roman Bystrianyk. *Dissolving Illusions: Disease, Vaccines, and the Forgotten History*

Eleanor McBean. *The Poisoned Needle: Suppressed Facts About Vaccination*

Thomas Cowan. *The Contagion Myth*

Stefan Lanka, Mark Bailey, Samantha Bailey, Mark Gober. *An End to Upside Down Medicine: Contagion, Viruses, and Vaccines*

Mary Holland & Kim Mack Rosenberg. *The HPV Vaccine on Trial*

Robert F. Kennedy Jr.. *The Real Anthony Fauci*

J.B. Handley. *How to End the Autism Epidemic*

Mary Holland, Kim Mack Rosenberg & Eileen Iorio. *Vax-Unvax: Let the Science Speak*

The Terrain Framework

The frame these essays operate inside.

Terrain. The body's internal environment: the quality of cells, fluids, mineral balance, and the biochemical conditions in which health or disease arises. The terrain, not an external invader, is primary.

Pleomorphism. Microorganisms within the body change form in response to the terrain. The same microbial life can appear benign or pathogenic depending on the environment it finds itself in; germs do not arrive from without so much as emerge from within.

The body as self-healing. A clean terrain heals itself. The practitioner's role is to support that work by removing obstacles (toxins, deficiencies, chronic stressors), not to override the body with intervention.

The four causes of disease. Injected toxins, nutritional deficiency, environmental burden, and chronic stress. The first is the overwhelming primary cause of modern chronic disease; the other three are amplifiers.

Richet's mechanism. Repeated exposure to foreign protein sensitizes the body to react progressively more violently to subsequent exposure. The mechanism underwrites what mainstream medicine labels allergy, autoimmunity, and anaphylaxis.

The acute-to-chronic cascade. An acute insult (an injection, an infection, a toxic exposure) that is suppressed rather than resolved becomes a chronic condition. Suppression of symptoms is not healing; it is the mechanism by which acute illness turns chronic.

About Unbekoming

Unbekoming is a pen name. The writer behind it examines the medical establishment, the childhood vaccine schedule, the pharmaceutical model, and the layers of institutional authority that have made modern chronic disease a settled background condition.

The pen name carries two meanings. The first: lying is unbecoming behavior, and the establishment and "The Science™" practice this low act as a trade. *Lies are unbekoming.* The second: the slow, unglamorous work of un-becoming what one has been taught to be.

The essays operate from the terrain paradigm. Disease arises from four categories of insult: injected toxins (the overwhelming primary cause), nutritional deficiency, environmental burden, and chronic stress. The body is self-healing when the terrain is clean. The companion essay *The Primary Cause* develops the hierarchy in detail.

Books by Unbekoming include *The Vitamin K Injection*, *No Virus*, *No Autoimmunity*, *The Genetic Deception*, *Extraction*, and *Beyond the PSA*, with the full catalog on the next page.

Site: www.unbekoming.com
Books: www.lulu.com/spotlight/unbekoming

• • •

Books by Unbekoming

available at www.lulu.com/spotlight/unbekoming

Beyond the PSA
Colloidal Silver: The Silver That Medicine Dropped
Butchered by "Healthcare" *by Robert Yoho (Unbekoming edition)*
Extraction
The Genetic Deception
The Carbon Dollar
No Autoimmunity
Breast Cancer: Before You Consent
The Boron Book
The Vitamin K Injection
The Magnesium Handbook
The Hydrogen Peroxide Book
The Iodine Book
No Virus
The Castor Oil Book
Baking Soda
No Contagion *by Jamie Andrews (Unbekoming edition)*
Chlorine Dioxide: The Forbidden Remedy
The DMSO Book
Escape from Psychiatry
What Your Vet Can't Tell You
Drilling for Profit
The Unvaccinated
Medicalized Motherhood

• • •

“

The baby cannot sign. The mother signs one form at admission, which opens the protocol.

No one asked the mother.

FROM THE ESSAY